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#### Abstract

Local periodic motions of a reversible system in the neighbourhood of the zero equilibrium position are investigated. In the


 non-degenerate case, to every pair of pure imaginary roots $\pm \lambda_{j}$ there corresponds a symmetric Lyapunov family $L_{j}$, provided there is no resonance $\lambda_{j}+p \lambda_{k}=0(p \in \mathbf{N})$. The scenario of the disappearance of the family $L_{k}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ (where $\varepsilon$ is the resonance detuning) is investigated. It is shown that resonant symmetric Lyapunov families $L R_{\alpha}$ arise and constructive conditions are obtained for the existence of $L R_{\alpha}$ for both $\varepsilon=0$ and $\varepsilon \neq 0$. When $p=1$ the existence of two cycles is observed; the cycles are mutually symmetric about the fixed set of the reversible system and each is distant $O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ from the origin. For a reversible system written in the form that is standard for oscillation theory, in "amplitude-angle" variables, a general theorem is established according to which symmetric periodic motions exist in the structurally unstable case; the theorem is basic for investigating the families $L R_{\alpha}$. © 2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
## 1. PRELIMINARY REMARKS

In [1] we developed Lyapunov's idea of using a generating system containing a small parameter to study structurally unstable cases in the theory of periodic motions. In particular, a general existence theorem [1, Theorem 5] for periodic motions of a system of standard form was proved; necessary and sufficient conditions were obtainec, on the assumption that the system of amplitude equations has no multiple roots. The theorem has been used to study cycles in various almost-resonance cases [2], in generic systems and in Lyapunov systems. For reversible systems, the theorem needs a natural supplement, because in that case, as a rule, the system of amplitude equations admits of a family of solutions. Some important special cases of the proposition for reversible systems were considered in [1].

When investigating local periodic motions of an autonomous system (a Lyapunov system, a reversible system, or a generic system) in the neighbourhood of an equilibrium position, it proves useful to adopt a method in which rescaling the problem reduces to the problem of continuing the motion with respect to a small parameter. One thus proves the existence of one-parameter families of periodic motions near zero, in Lyapunov systems [3] and reversible systems [4, 5], and investigates cycles in Lyapunov systems and generic systems [2]. In resonance systems [2, 4, 5] one has the structurally unstable case of the theory of periodic motions of a system with a small parameter.

Under certain restrictions, a smooth autonomous reversible system

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \\
& \dot{\mathbf{v}}=\mathbf{B u}+\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) ; \quad \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{R}^{l}, \quad \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}(l \geq n)  \tag{1.1}\\
& \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{u},-\mathbf{v})=-\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}), \quad \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{u},-\mathbf{v})=\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) ; \quad \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})=\mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})=\mathbf{0}
\end{align*}
$$

( $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are constant matrices, and $\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{V}$ are non-linear terms) admits of Lyapunov families of periodic motions in the neighbourhood of zero [5]. They are symmetric with respect to the fixed set $\mathbf{M}=\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}: \mathbf{v}=\mathbf{0}\}$ of the reversible system, form an $(l-n+1)$-family and exist if: (a) the characteristic equation of the linear approximation has a pair of pure imaginary roots, (b) none of the other roots equals $\pm i p \omega(p \in \mathbf{N}), \mathrm{c}) \operatorname{rank} \mathbf{B}=n$.

Do Lyapunov systems exist if one of these conditions is violated? Condition (a) cannot be dropped, because a Lyapunov family is by definition close to oscillations of a linear system due to a pair of pure imaginary roots. The case in which condition (b) fails to hold has been investigated for vector fields [6]. It was assumed there that $\operatorname{dim} \mathbf{U}=\operatorname{dim} \mathbf{V}$, and multiple roots were considered on the assumption that a Jordan cell exists. Finally, some cases with $\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{B}=n-1$ have recently been considered [5],
observing, in particular a "non-holonomic constraint" effect, namely, the dimension of the vector uexerts an influence on the existence of Lyapunov families.

Below, considering reversible systems formulated in the standard "amplitude-angle" variables of oscillation theory, we shall prove an existence theorem for symmetric periodic motions in the structurally unstable case. We shall then study the disappearance of Lyapunov families in almost-resonance situations. Finally, Lyapunov families will be investigated in the case of two-frequency resonances and for passage through resonance.

In all cases, constructive, verifiable conditions will be obtained for the existence of the desired families, in terms of the coefficients of the normal form of the system.

The problem of the existence of Lyapunov periodic motions is investigated for $l \geqslant n$. The cases of exact resonance and almost-resonance have both been analysed, and equations defining Lyapunov families have been obtained. According to part of a theorem of [5], when $l>n$ one can transform to the neighbourhood of a selected point of the manifold of equilibrium and obtain a problem involving motions in the neighbourhood of the "new" zero equilibrium position in an almost-resonance situation. Hence the problem of the evolution of resonant Lyapunov families on passing from one point of the manifold to another, and when the resonance detuning parameter passes through zero, is solved in a uniform manner.

In systems of general form, close to resonance systems, a cycle is produced as a rule at a distance $O\left(\varepsilon^{\sigma}\right)$ from the equilibrium position, with $\sigma=1$ for 1:2 resonance and $\sigma=1 / 2$ for $1: 1$ and $1: 3$ resonances [2]. In Lyapunov systems and Hamiltonian systems, cycles are produced at each level of the energy integral and they form a cycle of families (see [2] and [7, Chap. 8, Sec. 3.2]). Resonant Lyapunov families (with $\varepsilon=0$ ) also exist in such systems [8-12].

In a reversible system, periodic motions exist both when $\varepsilon=0$ and when $\varepsilon \neq 0$, forming resonant Lyapunov families near the equilibrium. This general rule is violated at $1: 1$ resonance. Here, along with a symmetric Lyapunov family, two cycles are produced, symmetric to one another relative to the fixed set, each distant $O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ from the equilibrium.

## 2. PERIODIC MOTIONS OF A REVERSIBLE SYSTEM WRITTEN IN STANDARD FORM

To investigate a system with a small parameter, when the generating system admits of a family of periodic motions, it is convenient to use standard notation for the system in "amplitude-angle" variables. In the case of a reversible system, the "amplitudes" and "angles" split into two groups of "amplitudes" and two groups of "angles". Finally, we note that it is often convenient to use two small parameters [1], while in the general case the rate of change of each "amplitude" is individual.

After these remarks, we express a reversible system as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{u}_{\alpha}=\varepsilon^{p_{\alpha}} U_{\alpha}(\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, t)+\mu_{\alpha}(\mu) U_{1 \alpha}(\varepsilon, \mu, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, t), \quad \alpha=1, \ldots, l_{1} \\
& \dot{v}_{\beta}=\varepsilon^{q_{\beta}} V_{\beta}(\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, t)+\mu_{\beta}(\mu) U_{1 \beta}(\varepsilon, \mu, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, t), \quad \beta=1, \ldots, n_{1} \\
& \dot{u}_{v}=U_{0 v}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, t)+\varepsilon U_{v}(\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, t)+\mu U_{1 v}(\varepsilon, \mu, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, t), \quad v=l_{1}+1, \ldots, l \\
& \dot{v}_{\lambda}=V_{0 \lambda}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, t)+\varepsilon V_{\lambda}(\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, t)+\mu V_{1 \lambda}(\varepsilon, \mu, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, t), \quad \lambda=n_{1}+1, \ldots, n \\
& U_{j}(\varepsilon, \mathbf{u},-\mathbf{v},-t)=-U_{j}(\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, t) \\
& U_{1 j}(\varepsilon, \mu, \mathbf{u},-\mathbf{v},-t)=-U_{1 j}(\varepsilon, \mu, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, t), \quad j=1, \ldots, l  \tag{2.1}\\
& V_{k}(\varepsilon, \mathbf{u},-\mathbf{v},-t)=V_{k}(\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, t) \\
& V_{1 k}(\varepsilon, \mu, \mathbf{u},-\mathbf{v},-t)=V_{1 k}(\varepsilon, \mu, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, t), \quad k=1, \ldots, n \\
& U_{0 \mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{u},-\mathbf{v},-t)=-U_{0 \mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, t), \quad V_{0 \lambda}(\mathbf{u},-\mathbf{v},-t)=V_{0 \lambda}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, t) \\
& v=l_{1}+1, \ldots, l ; \quad \lambda=n_{1}+1, \ldots, n \quad\left(l \geq n, l_{1} \geq n_{1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$\left(p_{\alpha}, q_{\beta} \in \mathbf{N}, \mu_{\alpha, \beta}(0)=0 ; \alpha=1, \ldots, l_{1}, \beta=1, \ldots, n_{1}\right)$. The right-hand sides of the equations are assumed to be $2 \pi$-periodic in $t ; \varepsilon, \mu$ are small parameters.

Suppose, when $\varepsilon=0$ and $\mu=0$, the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\mathbf{u}}_{2}=\mathbf{U}_{0}\left(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{u}_{2}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, t\right), \quad \dot{\mathbf{v}}_{2}=\mathbf{V}_{0}\left(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{u}_{2}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, t\right), \quad \mathbf{A}=\text { const } \\
& \mathbf{u}=\left(\mathbf{u}_{1}, \mathbf{u}_{2}\right), \quad \mathbf{v}=\left(\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}\right) ; \quad \mathbf{u}_{1} \in \mathbf{R}^{l_{1}}, \quad \mathbf{v}_{1} \in \mathbf{R}^{n_{1}} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

admits of $2 \pi$-periodic motions $\mathbf{u}_{2}=\varphi(\mathbf{A}, t), \mathbf{v}_{2}=\psi(\mathbf{A}, t)$ which are symmetric with respect to the fixed set $\left\{\mathbf{u}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{2}: \mathbf{v}_{2}=\mathbf{0}\right\}$. Then the necessary and sufficient conditions for $2 \pi$-periodicity of a solution of the reversible system (2.1) which is symmetric with respect to the fixed set $\mathbf{M}=\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}: \mathbf{v}=\mathbf{0}\}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{\beta}\left(\varepsilon, \mu, \mathbf{u}^{0}, \mathbf{0}, \pi\right)=0, \quad \beta=1, \ldots, n_{1} \\
& v_{\lambda}\left(\varepsilon, \mu, \mathbf{u}^{0}, \mathbf{0}, \pi\right)=0, \quad \lambda=n_{1}+1, \ldots, n \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

( $\mathbf{u}^{0}$ is the initial value of the variable $\mathbf{u}$ ). In that case the first group of EqS (2.3) is satisfied identically in $\mathbf{u}^{0}$ at $\varepsilon=0, \mu=0$. Therefore, in view of the fact that the rate of change of the variable $v_{\beta}$ is proportional to $\varepsilon^{q_{\beta}}$, we can write system (2.3) in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \xi_{\beta}\left(\mathbf{u}^{0}\right)+\xi_{1 \beta}\left(\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}^{0}\right)+\mu_{\beta} \varepsilon^{-q_{\beta}} f_{\beta}\left(\varepsilon, \mu, \mathbf{u}^{0}\right)=0, \quad \beta=1, \ldots, n_{1} \\
& \eta_{\lambda}\left(\mathbf{u}^{0}\right)+\eta_{1 \lambda}\left(\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}^{0}\right)+\mu_{\lambda} g_{\lambda}\left(\varepsilon, \mu, \mathbf{u}^{0}\right)=0, \quad \lambda=n_{1}+1, \ldots, n \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where the functions $\xi_{1 \beta}\left(\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}^{0}\right), \eta_{1 \lambda}\left(\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}^{0}\right)$ vanish when $\varepsilon=0$. Hence it follows that if one chooses $\mu_{\beta}=$ $o\left(\varepsilon^{q_{\beta}}\right), \mu=o(\varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, then system (2.4) is solvable for sufficiently small $\varepsilon \neq 0$, provided the system of equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{\beta}\left(\mathbf{u}^{0}\right)=0, \quad \eta_{\lambda}\left(\mathbf{u}^{0}\right)=0, \quad \beta=1, \ldots, n_{1}, \quad \lambda=n_{1}+1, \ldots, n \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is solvable and its solutions are such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rank}\left\|\partial \xi_{\beta} / \partial \mathbf{u}^{0}, \partial \eta_{\lambda} / \partial \mathbf{u}^{0}\right\|=n \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $\mathbf{u}_{1}^{0}=\mathbf{A}$, the second group of Eqs (2.5), admits of a solution $\mathbf{u}_{2}^{0}=\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{0})$. Therefore the problem of finding the roots of Eqs (2.5) leads to a solvable system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{\beta}(\mathbf{A}, \varphi(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{0}))=0, \quad \beta=1, \ldots, n_{1} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions $\xi_{\beta}$ are determined by integrating a system of differential equations

$$
\dot{\xi}_{\beta}=V_{\beta}(0, \mathbf{A}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}(\mathbf{A}, t), \mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{A}, t), t), \quad \boldsymbol{\beta}=1, \ldots, n_{1}
$$

over the interval $[0, \pi]$. Consequently, the roots of the equations are calculated from the system of amplitude equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\beta}(\mathbf{A})=\int_{0}^{\pi} V_{\beta}(0, \mathbf{A}, \varphi(\mathbf{A}, t), \mathbf{0}, \Psi(\mathbf{A}, t), t) d t=0, \quad \beta=1, \ldots, n_{1} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To each root $\mathbf{A}^{*}$ of these equations that satisfies the condition rank $\left\|\partial \eta_{\lambda} / \partial \mathbf{u}_{2}^{0}\right\|=n-n_{1}$ for $\mathbf{u}_{1}^{0}=\mathbf{A}^{*}$, $\mathbf{u}_{2}^{0}=\boldsymbol{\varphi}\left(\mathbf{A}^{*}, \mathbf{0}\right)$, there corresponds, for sufficiently small $\varepsilon \neq 0$, a solution of system (2.4), provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rank}\left\|\partial \mathbf{I}\left(\mathbf{A}^{*}\right) / \partial \mathbf{A} *\right\|=n_{1} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This solution depends on $l-n$ arbitrary parameters, of which $l_{1}-n_{1}$ are chosen from the set $\left\{A_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.A_{l_{1}}\right\}$; the remaining $k=l-n-\left(l_{1}-n_{1}\right)$ determine, for every $\mathbf{A}$, a $k$-family of symmetric $2 \pi$-periodic solutions of system (2.2).

Thus, the existence of $2 \pi$-periodic motions in system (2.1) has been proved. These motions are described by formulae

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{\alpha}=A_{\alpha}^{*}+\varepsilon^{p_{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{t} U_{\alpha}\left(0, \mathbf{A}^{*}, \varphi\left(\mathbf{A}^{*}, t\right), \mathbf{0}, \psi\left(\mathbf{A}^{*}, t\right), t\right) d t+o\left(\varepsilon^{p_{\alpha}}\right), \quad \alpha=1, \ldots, l_{1} \\
& v_{\beta}=\varepsilon^{q_{\beta}} \int_{0}^{t} V_{\beta}\left(0, \mathbf{A}^{*}, \varphi\left(\mathbf{A}^{*}, t\right), \mathbf{0}, \psi\left(\mathbf{A}^{*}, t\right), t\right) d t+o\left(\varepsilon^{q_{\beta}}\right), \quad \beta=1, \ldots, n_{1}  \tag{2.10}\\
& \mathbf{u}_{2}=\varphi\left(\mathbf{A}^{*}, t\right)+\mathbf{O}(\varepsilon), \quad \boldsymbol{v}_{2}=\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\mathbf{A}^{*}, t\right)+\mathbf{O}(\varepsilon)
\end{align*}
$$

and they form an $(l-n)$-family.
Theorem 1. To every root $\mathbf{A}^{*}$ of the amplitude equation (2.8) there corresponds a unique $(l-n)$ family (2.10) of symmetric $2 \pi$-periodic motions of system (2.1), provided that: (a) condition (2.9) holds, (b) the variational equations for the solution $\mathbf{u}_{2}=\varphi(\mathbf{A}, t), \boldsymbol{v}_{2}=\psi(\mathbf{A}, t)$ of system (2.2) have for $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A}^{*}$ at most $l-n-\left(l_{1}-n_{1}\right)$ roots of the characteristic equation equal to unity, (c) $\mu_{\alpha}=o\left(\varepsilon^{P_{\alpha}}\right), \mu_{\beta}=o\left(\varepsilon^{q_{\beta}}\right)$, $\mu=o(\varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Corollary. Cesari's method $[13,14]$. To every simple root $A^{*}$ of the amplitude equation

$$
\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{A})=\int_{0}^{\pi} \mathbf{V}(0, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{0}, t) d t=0
$$

of a reversible system, $2 \pi$-periodic in $t$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{u}=\mu \mathbf{U}(\mu, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, t), \quad \dot{\mathbf{v}}=\mu \mathbf{V}(\mu, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, t) ; \quad \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{R}^{n} \\
& \mathbf{U}(\mu, \mathbf{u},-\mathbf{v},-t)=-\mathbf{U}(\mu, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, t), \quad \mathbf{V}(\mu, \mathbf{u},-\mathbf{v},-t)=\mathbf{V}(\mu, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

with a small parameter $\mu$ there corresponds a $2 \pi$-periodic solution, close to a constant and symmetric with respect to the fixed set $\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}: \mathbf{v}=\mathbf{0}\}$ :

$$
\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{A}^{*}+\mu \int_{0}^{t} \mathbf{U}\left(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{A}^{*}, \mathbf{0}, t\right) d t+o(\mu), \quad \mathbf{v} \equiv \mu \int_{0}^{t} \mathbf{V}\left(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{A}^{*}, \mathbf{0}, t\right) d t=o(\mu)
$$

Remark. In the case when system (2.1) is $2 \pi$-periodic in only part of the variables, the theorem establishes the existence of $2 \pi$-periodic rotational solutions (see [1, 15]).

## 3. THE DISAPPEARANCE OF ONE OF THE TWO LYAPUNOV FAMILIES AS THE SYSTEM APPROACHES A RESONANCE SYSTEM

In the case $\operatorname{rankB}=n$, system (1.1) may be reduced by a non-singular linear transformation to the following form [5]

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{\xi} & =\mathbf{P y}+\Xi(\xi, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), \quad \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{l \sim n} \\
\dot{\mathbf{x}} & =\mathbf{J} \mathbf{y}+\mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})  \tag{3.1}\\
\dot{\mathbf{y}} & =\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{Y}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), \quad \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}
\end{align*}
$$

( $\mathbf{P}$ is a constant matrix, $\mathbf{J}$ is a real Jordan matrix with real eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}^{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{2}$ - the squares of the roots of the characteristic equation, and $\Xi, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}$ are non-linear terms). Let us assume that there are two pairs of pure imaginary roots and consider a situation close to the case in which there is a single two-frequency resonance

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}+p \lambda_{2}=i \kappa \varepsilon, \quad \kappa=\text { const }, \quad p \in \mathbf{N} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $\varepsilon$ is the resonance detuning). The remaining roots satisfy condition (b) in system (1.1). Then, by a theorem in [5], system (3.1) with $\varepsilon \neq 0$ always admits of two Lyapunov families, but if $\varepsilon=0, p>1$, only the family corresponding to the root $\lambda_{1}$ exists; the conditions for the existence of the second family fail to hold. If $p=1$ and $\varepsilon=0$, the conditions for the existence of both families indicated above for $\varepsilon \neq 0$ do not hold.

Suppose $\varepsilon \neq 0$. We separate out the variables corresponding to the roots $\pm \lambda_{1}$ and $\pm \lambda_{2}$ and, making the replacement of variables $(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \rightarrow(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mu \mathbf{x}, \mu \mathbf{y})$, introduce a small parameter $\mu$. We obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\boldsymbol{\xi}}=\mu \mathbf{P} \mathbf{y}+\mu \Xi^{*}(\mu, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \\
& \dot{x}_{1}=\lambda_{1}^{2} y_{1}+\mu X_{1}^{*}(\mu, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), \quad \dot{y}_{1}=x_{1}+\mu Y_{1}^{*}(\mu, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \\
& \dot{x}_{2}=\lambda_{2}^{2} y_{2}+\mu X_{2}(\mu, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), \quad \dot{y}_{2}=x_{2}+\mu Y_{2}^{*}(\mu, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})  \tag{3.3}\\
& \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{s}=\mathbf{J}_{s} \mathbf{y}_{s}+\mu \mathbf{X}_{s}(\mu, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), \quad \dot{\mathbf{y}}_{s}=\mathbf{x}_{s}+\mu \mathbf{Y}_{s}^{*}(\mu, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), \quad s=3, \ldots, s^{*} ; \quad s^{*} \leq n
\end{align*}
$$

( $\mathbf{x}_{s}$ and $\mathbf{y}_{s}$ are vectors with the dimensionality of the Jordan cell $\mathbf{J}_{s}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{s}^{2}$ ). If $\mu=0$, system (3.3) admits of a family of periodic solutions symmetric with respect to the fixed set $\{\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}: \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{0}$ ),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi=\xi^{0}\left(\xi^{0}=\mathrm{const}\right) \\
& x_{\alpha}=\omega_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \cos \omega_{\alpha} t, \quad y_{\alpha}=a_{\alpha} \sin \omega_{\alpha} t, \quad \lambda_{\alpha}=-(-1)^{\alpha} i \omega_{\alpha}\left(\omega_{\alpha}>0\right), \quad a_{\alpha}=\text { const } ; \quad \alpha=1,2 \\
& x_{\beta}=\sum_{j=3}^{n} a_{j}^{*} \varphi_{\beta j}(t), \quad y_{\beta}=\sum_{j=3}^{n} a_{j} \psi_{\beta j}(t) ; \quad \varphi_{\beta j}(-t)=\varphi_{\beta j}(t), \quad \psi_{\beta j}(-t)=-\psi_{\beta j}(t) \\
& a_{j}^{*}, a_{j}=\text { const }, \quad \beta, j=3, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

where, since, if $\varepsilon=0$, there is only one resonance, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left\|\psi_{\beta j}\left(\pi / \omega_{1,2}\right)\right\| \neq 0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a symmetric $2 T$-periodic solution of system (3.3) may be written in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{\alpha} \sin \omega_{\alpha} T+\mu y_{\alpha}^{*}\left(\mu, \xi^{*}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, T\right)=0, \quad \alpha=1,2 \\
& \sum_{j=3}^{n} a_{j} \psi_{\beta j}(T)+\mu y_{\beta}^{*}\left(\mu, \xi^{*}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, T\right)=0, \quad \beta=3, \ldots, n \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\mu=0$, system (3.5) has two obvious solutions for the constants $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ such that $a_{\beta}=0(\beta=3$, $\ldots, n$ ), and one of the $a_{\alpha} \mathrm{s}$ is not zero ( $T=\pi / \omega_{\mathrm{a}}$ ). Therefore, taking conditions (3.5) into account, we obtain: if $\mu \neq 0$, system (3.5) has a solution such that

$$
a_{1}=O(1), \quad T=\pi / \omega_{1}+O(\mu) ; \quad a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}=O(\mu)
$$

( $a_{1}$ is an arbitrary number). Thus a Lyapunov family for the pair of roots $\pm \lambda_{1}$ will always exist.
If $\mu=0$, system (3.5) also has a non-trivial solution $a_{1}=0, a_{2}=1, a_{3}=\ldots=a_{n}=0, T=\pi / \omega_{2}$. Let us write the first two equations of system (3.4) in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& (-1)^{p} a_{1} \sin \left(p \omega_{2} \Delta T+\varepsilon T\right)+\mu y_{1}^{*}\left(\mu, \xi^{*}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, T\right)=0 \\
& -a_{2} \sin \left(\omega_{2} \Delta T\right)+\mu y_{2}^{*}\left(\mu, \xi^{*}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, T\right)=0, \Delta T=T-\pi / \omega_{2} \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence it follows that, if $\mu \neq 0, \varepsilon \neq 0$, system (3.5) has a solution such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=O(\mu / \varepsilon), \quad a_{2}=1, \quad a_{3}=O(\mu), \ldots, a_{n}=O(\mu), \quad \Delta T=O(\mu) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The parameters $\varepsilon$ and $\mu$ in Eqs (3.6) are independent of one another. Moreover, for fixed $\varepsilon$ we have $a_{1}=O(\mu)$. Thus, a solution (3.7) of system (3.5) exists when $\mu<\mu_{0}, \mu_{0}=o(\varepsilon)$. Hence we infer that, together with $\varepsilon$, the amplitude of the periodic oscillations corresponding to the frequency $\omega_{2}$ tends to zero: the Lyapunov family disappears.

Theorem 2. Suppose the characteristic equation of system (3.1) has two pairs of pure imaginary roots $\pm \lambda_{1}, \pm \lambda_{2}$, which satisfy relation (3.2), and the remaining roots are not multiples of $\lambda_{2}$. Then the maximum amplitude $A_{2}$ of oscillations on the Lyapunov family corresponding to the roots $\pm \lambda_{2}$ is $A_{2}=O(\varepsilon)$, and as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ this family contracts to the equilibrium $\xi=\xi^{*}, \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{0}$ and disappears.

Remark. By a theorem in [5], system (3.2) has a manifold to equilibrium positions depending on $\xi^{*}$, with a Lyapunov family near each. All the families corresponding to roots $\pm \lambda_{2}$ disappear as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

## 4. THIRD-ORDER 1:2 RESONANCE

In what follows, when considering specific resonances in system (3.1), attention will be confined to the sub-system corresponding to the resonant roots $\pm \lambda_{1}, \pm \lambda_{2}$.

We reduce system (3.1) to normal form up to terms of the required (second or third) order. To do this, as in [2], we apply to system (3.1), which is almost resonant, a normalizing transformation which is continuous in the parameter $\varepsilon$. We then introduce a small parameter $\mu$ using scaling.

In complex-conjugate variables $z$ and $\bar{z}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{z}_{s}=\lambda_{s} z_{s}+\mu i B_{s} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{2} z_{\alpha}^{p_{\alpha}-\delta_{s \alpha}}+\mu^{2} Z_{s}(\mu, \mathbf{z}, \tilde{\mathbf{z}}), \quad s=1,2 \\
& \lambda_{1}+2 \lambda_{2}=i \kappa \varepsilon ; \quad p_{1}=1, \quad p_{2}=2 ; \quad Z_{s}(\mu, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})=0
\end{aligned}
$$

( $B_{s}$ are real constants). We consider the non-degenerate case, when $B_{s} \neq 0(s=1,2)$, and, using the formulae

$$
z_{s}=\sqrt{\left|B_{s}\right| r_{s}} \exp \left(i \theta_{s}\right), \quad \bar{z}_{s}=\sqrt{\left|B_{s}\right| r_{s}} \exp \left(-i \theta_{s}\right) ; \quad s=1,2
$$

transform to polar coordinates $\left(r_{s}, \theta_{s}\right)$. We obtain a reversible system

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{r}_{s}=2 \mu B \operatorname{sign} B_{s} \sqrt{r_{1}} r_{2} \sin \theta+o(\mu) \\
& \dot{\theta}_{s}=-i \lambda_{2}+\frac{\mu B \operatorname{sign} B_{s}}{2 i r_{s}^{1 / 2}} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{2} r_{\alpha}^{p / 2-\delta_{s x}} \cos \theta+o(\mu) ; \quad s=1,2  \tag{4.1}\\
& B=\sqrt{\left|B_{1}\right|\left|B_{2}\right|}
\end{align*}
$$

which is invariant with respect to the substitution $(t, \mathbf{r}, \theta) \rightarrow(-t, \mathbf{r},-\theta)$.
The last two equations for $\theta_{s}$ are replaced by a single equation for the angle $\theta=\theta_{1}+2 \theta_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\theta}=\kappa \varepsilon+\mu B\left(\operatorname{sign} B_{1} r_{1}^{-1 / 2} r_{2}+2 \operatorname{sign} B_{2} r_{1}^{1 / 2}\right) \cos \theta+o(\mu) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the angle $\theta_{2}$ is taken as the new independent variable. The resulting third-order system, which is periodic in $\theta$ and $\theta_{2}$, has the obvious solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{s}=r_{s}^{0}\left(r_{s}^{0}=\text { const }\right), \quad \theta=\kappa \varepsilon \theta_{2}+\theta^{0}, \quad \theta^{0}=\text { const } ; \quad s=1,2 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\varepsilon=0$, this solution will be a constant; if $\sin \theta^{0}=0$, it will be symmetric relative to the fixed set

$$
\mathbf{M}_{*}=\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, \theta, \theta_{2}: \sin \theta=0, \sin \theta_{2}=0\right\}
$$

Suppose $\varepsilon=\mu^{\sigma}, \sigma \geqslant 1$. Then it follows from Theorem 1 that to the constant solutions of the amplitude equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa^{*} \sqrt{r_{1}}+B\left(\operatorname{sign} B_{1} r_{2}+2 \operatorname{sign} B_{2} r_{1}\right) \cos \theta=0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $\kappa^{*}=\kappa$ if $\sigma=1$ and $\kappa^{*}=0$ if $\sigma>1$ ) there correspond for $\mu<\mu_{1}$ (where $\mu_{1}$ is some finite number) a symmetric periodic solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{s}=r_{s}^{0}+O(\mu), \quad s=1,2, \quad \theta=\theta^{0}+O(\mu) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(\theta^{0}=0\right.$ or $\left.\pi\right)$. Taking into consideration the fact that in system (3.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{s}=i\left[-(-1)^{s} \omega_{s}+\kappa_{s} \varepsilon\right], \quad \kappa_{s}=\text { const } ; \quad s=1,2 ; \quad \kappa_{1}+p \kappa_{2}=\kappa \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain the form of the solution in system (3.1)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{s}=\mu\left[\omega_{s}-(-1)^{s} \kappa_{s} \mu^{\sigma}\right] a_{s} \cos \theta_{s}, & y_{s}=\mu a_{s} \sin \theta_{s}, \quad a_{s}^{2}=\left|B_{s}\right| r_{s}^{0}+O(\mu) ; \quad s=1,2  \tag{4.7}\\
\theta=\theta^{0}+O(\mu), \quad \theta_{1}=\theta-2 \theta_{2}, & \theta_{2}=\left[-\omega_{2}+\kappa_{2} \mu^{\sigma}+O(\mu)\right] t+\theta_{2}^{0}
\end{array}
$$

( $r_{1}^{0}$ and $r_{2}^{0}$ are related by Eq (4.4)).
If $\kappa=0$, we have exact resonance. In that case, system (4.1) is independent of the parameter $\varepsilon$. Formulae (4.7) define a resonant family of symmetric Lyapunov motions near equilibrium. As is obvious from the amplitude equation (4.4), such a family exists only in the neighbourhood of a stable equilibrium ( $\left.B_{1} B_{2}<[16]\right)$. The resonant family is represented in the $\left(r_{1}^{0}, r_{2}^{0}\right)$ plane by the straight line $r_{2}^{0}=2 r_{1}^{0}$ (Fig. 1); the Lyapunov family corresponding to the roots $\pm \lambda_{1}$ lies on the abscissa axis.

If $\kappa \neq 0$, we have on every curve $\varepsilon=\mu^{\sigma}$ a periodic system which depends on $\mu$. If $\mu<\mu_{1}$, system (3.1) also admits of the family of periodic motions (4.7). For each fixed $\mu$ system (3.1), containing $\varepsilon=\mu^{\alpha}$, has a family (4.7), which depends on one arbitrary parameter - the amplitude $r_{1}^{0}$.

Thus, for any $\varepsilon \geqslant 0$ we have a Lyapunov family near equilibrium. This is the basic difference between local periodic motions in a reversible system and in other systems - generic, Lyapunov and Hamiltonian.

The generation of a non-trivial periodic motion when $\varepsilon \neq 0$ has been observed in a generic system as a cycle; in Lyapunov and Hamiltonian systems one obtains a family of cycles at each energy level [2].

In Lyapunov and Hamiltonian systems, only for $\varepsilon=0$ do resonant Lyapunov families exist near an equilibrium [8-12].

In the case when $\sigma>1$ the amplitude equation (4.4) does not depend on $\varepsilon$ and the family (4.7) exists only in the neighbourhood of a stable equilibrium. If $\sigma=1$, the solution of Eq. (4.4) has a different form depending on whether there is a stable or unstable equilibrium.

Suppose a stable equilibrium is being considered $\left(B_{1} B_{2}<0\right)$. In $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \theta\right)$ space the amplitude equation (4.4) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{2}^{0}=2 r_{1}^{0}-\kappa^{*} \sqrt{r_{1}^{0}} /\left(B \cos \theta^{0}\right), \quad \sin \theta^{0}=0 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and admits of two families of solutions: $\theta^{0}=0$ and $\theta^{0}=\pi$ (Fig. 2). If $\kappa^{*}=0$ (exact resonance), the Lyapunov family is represented by the straight line $r_{2}^{0}=2 r_{1}^{0}$ in the $\left(r_{1}^{0}, r_{2}^{0}\right)$ plane. This family also exists
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if $\varepsilon>0\left(\varepsilon=\mu^{\sigma}, \sigma>1\right)$ and is represented by a plane $\Pi$ in $\left(r_{1}^{0}, r_{2}^{0}, \kappa^{*}\right)$ space. As before, it is near zero $r_{1}^{0}=0, r_{2}^{0}=0$. If $\kappa^{*}>0$, the family $r_{1}^{0}=2 r_{2}^{0}$ splits into three families, one of which is represented by the plane $\Pi$. The other two families are defined by Eqs (4.8). One of them, $\Pi_{\pi}\left(\theta^{0}=\pi\right)$, is near the $\kappa^{*}$ axis, the other, $\Pi_{0}\left(\theta^{0}=0\right)$, is near the points $\kappa^{*}=2 B \sqrt{r_{1}^{0}}$. In the ( $r_{1}^{0}, \kappa^{*}$ ) plane there is a Lyapunov family corresponding to the roots $\pm \lambda_{1}$. This family bifurcates on the straight line $\kappa^{*}=2 B \sqrt{r_{1}^{0}}$ and becomes the family $\Pi_{0}$. Obviously, there is also a Lyapunov family in the $\left(r_{2}^{*}, \kappa^{*}\right)$ plane if $\kappa^{*}>0$, corresponding to $\pm \lambda_{2}$.

The non-resonant Lyapunov families, whose existence was established in [5], are shown in Fig. 2 by the hatching.

We will now consider the case of an unstable equilibrium ( $B_{1} B_{2}>0$ ). In that case the amplitude equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{2}^{0}=-2 r_{1}^{0}-\kappa * \sqrt{r_{1}^{0}} /\left(B \cos \theta^{0}\right), \quad \sin \theta^{0}=0 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of exact resonance there is clearly no Lyapunov family. A similar situation occurs when $\varepsilon=\mu^{\sigma}(\sigma>1)$. If $\sigma=1$, the family $\theta^{0}=0$ exists only for $\kappa^{*}<0$, and the family $\theta^{0}=\pi$ for $\kappa^{*}>0$ (Fig. 3). The corresponding surfaces are situated below the ( $r_{1}^{0}, \kappa^{*}$ ) plane, cutting it along the $\kappa^{*}$ axis and the straight lines $\kappa^{*}= \pm 2 B \sqrt{r_{1}^{0}}$. The families are near zero and simultaneously cut the family $\Pi_{1}$, corresponding to roots $\pm \lambda_{1}$. The family $\Pi_{1}$ bifurcates on the straight lines $\kappa^{*}= \pm B \sqrt{r_{1}^{0}}$. For every fixed $\kappa^{*} \varepsilon$ the family of Lyapunov motions is represented by points of a curve parallel to the $\left(r_{1}^{0}, r_{2}^{0}\right)$ plane and contains, in particular, points near the equilibrium $r_{1}^{0}=0, r_{2}^{0}=0$. Oscillations occur at an amplitude $O(\varepsilon A)$, where $A$ depends on the selected point of the curve (Fig. 3).

Theorem 3. In a reversible system with resonance $\lambda_{1}+2 \lambda_{2}=i \kappa \varepsilon$ (where $\kappa=$ const and $\varepsilon$ is a small non-negative number), depending on the signs of the number $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$, the following Lyapunov families of periodic motions exist.

In the neighbourhood of a stable equilibrium ( $B_{1} B_{2}<0$ ) with $\varepsilon=0$ (exact resonance), we have a resonant family $\left(r_{2}^{0}=2 r_{j}^{0}\right)$ near the equilibrium. If $\kappa \varepsilon \neq 0$, this family splits into three surfaces, one of which corresponds to $\theta^{\theta}=0\left(\Pi_{0}\right)$, another to $\theta^{0}=\pi\left(\Pi_{\pi}\right)$, and on the third, the plane $\Pi$, we have $r_{2}^{0}=2 r_{1}^{0}$. Periodic oscillations on these surfaces are described by formulae (4.7) with $\varepsilon=\mu^{\sigma}(\sigma>1)$ in the plane $\Pi$ and $\varepsilon=\mu$ on the other surfaces. If $\kappa^{*}>0(<0)$, the family $\Pi_{0}\left(\Pi_{\pi}\right)$ is separated from (near) the equilibrium. In the coordinate planes Lyapunov families $\Pi_{1}$ and $\Pi_{2}$ exist corresponding to pairs of roots $\pm \lambda_{1}$ and $\pm \lambda_{2}$, but the family $\Pi_{2}$ exists only if $\varepsilon \neq 0$.

In the neighbourhood of an unstable equilibrium $\left(B_{1} B_{2}>0\right)$ there are no resonant Lyapunov families. If $\kappa^{*}<0$, only the family $\Pi_{0}$ exists, and if $\kappa^{*}>0$, only $\Pi_{\pi}$; the motions are described by formulae (4.7) with $\sigma=1$. Families $\Pi_{1}$ and $\Pi_{2}$ exist as before.

## 5. THE STABILITY OF LOCAL PERIODIC MOTIONS AT 1:2 RESONANCE

Consider the following third-order system, which is periodic in $\theta_{2}$ and $\theta$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d r_{s}}{d \theta_{2}}=\frac{\mu}{\Phi_{2}}\left[2 B \operatorname{sign} B_{s} \sqrt{r_{1}} r_{2} \sin \theta+\sqrt{r_{s}} R_{s}\right] \\
& \frac{d \theta}{d \theta_{2}}=\frac{1}{\Phi_{2}}\left\{\kappa \mu^{\sigma}+\mu\left[B\left(\operatorname{sign} B_{1} \frac{r_{2}}{\sqrt{r_{1}}}+2 \operatorname{sign} B_{2} \sqrt{r_{1}}\right) \cos \theta+\frac{\Theta_{1}}{\sqrt{r_{1}}}+2 \frac{\Theta_{2}}{\sqrt{r_{2}}}\right]\right\}  \tag{5.1}\\
& \Phi_{2}=-\omega_{2}+\kappa_{2} \mu^{\sigma}+\mu\left(2 B \operatorname{sign} B_{2} \sqrt{r_{1}}+\frac{\Theta_{2}}{\sqrt{r_{2}}}\right), \quad R_{s}, \Theta_{s}=o(\mu) ; \quad s=1,2
\end{align*}
$$

For a solution

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{s}=r_{s}^{0}+O(\mu), \quad s=1,2 ; \quad \theta=\theta^{0}+O(\mu), \quad \sin \theta^{0}=0 \\
& \kappa \mu^{\sigma-1} \sqrt{r_{1}^{0}}+2 B\left(\operatorname{sign} B_{1} r_{2}^{0}+\operatorname{sign} B_{2} r_{1}^{0}\right)=0 \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

we formulate variational equations in the first approximation in $\mu$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\left(\Delta r_{s}\right)}{d \theta_{2}} & =-\frac{2 \mu}{\omega_{2}} B \operatorname{sign} B_{s} \sqrt{r_{1}^{0}} r_{2}^{0} \cos \theta^{0} \Delta \theta \\
\frac{d(\Delta \theta)}{d \theta_{2}} & =-\frac{\mu B}{2 r_{1}^{0} \sqrt{r_{1}^{0}} \omega_{2}}\left[\left(2 \operatorname{sign} B_{2} r_{1}^{0}-\operatorname{sign} B_{1} r_{2}^{0}\right) \Delta r_{1}+2 \operatorname{sign} B_{1} r_{1}^{0} \Delta r_{2}\right] \cos \theta^{0} \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

The characteristic equation of system (5.3) has a single zero root; two other roots are defined by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{2}=\mu^{2} B^{2} r_{2}^{0}\left(\omega_{2} r_{1}^{0}\right)^{-1}\left(4 r_{1}^{0} \operatorname{sign} B_{1} B_{2}+r_{2}^{0}\right) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence it follows at once that in the neighbourhood of an unstable equilibrium ( $B_{1} B_{2}>0$ ) all periodic motions of the families $\Pi_{0}$ and $\Pi_{\pi}$ are unstable.

To evaluate $\rho$ for a stable equilibrium ( $B_{1} B_{2}<0$ ), we use (5.4), taking Eqs (4.4) into consideration. This gives

$$
\rho^{2}=-\mu^{2} B^{2} r_{2}^{0}\left(\omega_{2}{\sqrt{r_{1}^{0}}}^{-1}\left[2 \sqrt{r_{1}^{0}}+\kappa^{*} /\left(B \cos \theta^{0}\right)\right]\right.
$$

Thus, at exact resonance the Lyapunov family is stable. It remains stable if $\varepsilon=\mu^{\sigma}, \sigma>1$ (family $\Pi$ ). If $\sigma=1$, then for $\kappa>0$ the stable family is $\Pi_{0}$, but if $\kappa<0$, the stable family is $\Pi_{\pi}$. Finally, depending on the combined influence in system (3.1) of the non-linearity and the magnitude of the detuning, we obtain: the family $\Pi_{0}\left(\Pi_{\pi}\right)$ is stable for $\kappa<0(\kappa>0)$ if $|\kappa|<2 B \sqrt{r_{1}^{0}}$; otherwise, it is unstable. These properties reffect the fact that the family of unstable periodic motions is near a stable zero.

Theorem 4. In the case of 1:2 resonance, the Lyapunov families in the neighbourhood of an unstable equilibrium ( $B_{1} B_{2}>0$ ) are hyperbolic. If the equilibrium is stable, the resonant Lyapunov family is stable and transforms to stable families $\Pi, \Pi_{0}(\kappa>0)$ and $\Pi_{\pi}(\kappa<0)$. The families $\Pi_{0}(\kappa<0)$, $\Pi_{\pi}(\kappa>0)$ are stable if $|\kappa|>2 B \sqrt{r_{1}^{0}}$ and hyperbolic if $|\kappa|<2 B \sqrt{r_{1}^{0}}$.

Remarks. 1. A family is said to be stable if it consists of stable periodic motions.
2. The stability of solution (5.2) of Eq. (5.1) was investigated in the first approximation in the variables $r_{1}, r_{2}, \theta$ and the parameter $\mu$. The conclusions of Theorem 3 as to hyperbolicity guarantee that the periodic motions will be unstable in Lyapunov's sense.

## 6. THE DEGENERATE CASE

The case usually encountered in mechanical problems is the degenerate one, $B=0$. In that case the second-order terms in the normal form vanish, and system (3.1) in variables $\mathbf{z}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{z}}$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{z}_{s}=\lambda_{s} z_{s}+i \mu^{2}\left[A_{s 1}\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+A_{s 2}\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right] z_{s}+\mu^{3} Z_{s}(\mu, \mathbf{z}, \overline{\mathbf{z}}), \quad s=1,2 \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $A_{s j}$ are real constants).
The normal form of a reversible system also has the form (6.1) in the case when there are no $1: 1$, $1: 2$ or $1: 3$ resonances. Consequently, we have a general problem concerning the family of Lyapunov periodic motions in degenerate cases for these resonances and for $1: p, p>3$, resonances.

The amplitude equation in the cases of interest here may be written as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \kappa^{*}+\left(A_{1}^{*} r_{1}+A_{2}^{*} r_{2}\right)=0, \quad \sin \theta^{0}=0, \quad A_{s}^{*}=A_{s 1}+p A_{s 2}, \quad s=1,2  \tag{6.2}\\
& \lambda_{1}+p \lambda_{2}=i \kappa \varepsilon \quad(\kappa=\text { const }), \quad p=1,2, \ldots
\end{align*}
$$

( $\kappa^{*}=\kappa$ for $\varepsilon=\mu^{2}$ and $\kappa^{*}=0$ for $\varepsilon=\mu^{\sigma+1}, \sigma>1$ ).
We will consider two cases. If $A_{1}^{*} A_{2}^{*}<0$, the surfaces of the Lyapunov families are shown in Fig. 4a. A resonant family $\left(\kappa^{*}=0\right)$ exists and is near zero. It is the intersection of families $\Pi\left(\varepsilon=\mu^{\sigma+1}\right.$, $\sigma>1)$ and $\Pi^{*}\left(\varepsilon=\mu^{2}\right)$, which exist both for $\theta^{0}=0$ and for $\theta^{0}=\pi$.

In the case when $A_{1}^{*} A_{2}^{*}>0$ (Fig. $4 \mathrm{~b}, A_{2}^{*}>0$ ), there is no resonant family, and if $\kappa \neq 0$, there is only the family $\Pi^{*}$.

All periodic motions are described by formulae (4.7) with $\mu$ replaced by $\mu^{2}$, and they are stable. The families $\Pi_{1}$ and $\Pi_{2}$ are shown, as before, by hatching. Theorem 1 implies the following theorem.

Theorem 5. In the degenerate cases of 1:1, 1:2 and 1:3 resonance, and at resonance $1: p(p>3)$, the families of Lyapunov periodic motions are defined by the amplitude equation (6.2). If $A_{1}^{*} A_{2}^{*}<0$, a resonant family exist, through which pass planes - the families $\Pi$ and $\Pi^{*}$. If $A_{1}^{*} A_{2}^{*}>0$, there is no resonant family, and if $\kappa^{*} / A_{2}^{*}<0$, only the family $\Pi^{*}$ exists.

## 7. FOURTH-ORDER 1:3 RESONANCE

In the case considered, the normal form of the system up to and including third-order terms, taking scaling into account, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{z}_{s}=\lambda_{s} z_{s}+i \mu^{2}\left[\left(A_{s 1}\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+A_{s 2}\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right) z_{s}+i B_{s} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{2} \bar{z}_{\alpha}^{p_{\alpha}-\delta_{s \alpha}}\right]+\mu^{3} Z_{s}(\mu, \mathbf{z}, \overline{\mathbf{z}}), \quad s=1,2 \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $A_{s j}$ and $B_{s}$ are real numbers). As in Section 4, we consider the non-degenerate case $B_{1} B_{2} \neq 0$ and introduce polar coordinates. Next, taking $\theta$ to be the angle $\theta=\theta_{1}+3 \theta_{2}$ and taking the expression (4.5) with $p=3$ into consideration, we can write down a third-order system, periodic in $\theta$ and $\theta_{2}$
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$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d r_{1}}{d \theta_{2}}=\frac{1}{\Phi_{2}}\left\{2 \mu^{2} \operatorname{sign} B_{s} \sqrt{r_{1} r_{2}^{3}} \sin \theta+O\left(\mu^{3}\right)\right\} \\
& \frac{d \theta}{d \theta_{2}}=\frac{1}{\Phi_{2}}\left\{\kappa \varepsilon+\mu^{2} B\left[A_{1}^{*} r_{1}+A_{2}^{*} r_{2}+\left(\operatorname{sign} B_{1} r_{1}^{-1 / 2} r_{2}^{3 / 2}+3 \operatorname{sign} B_{2} r_{1}^{1 / 2} r_{2}^{1 / 2}\right) \cos \theta+O\left(\mu^{3}\right)\right.\right.  \tag{7.2}\\
& \Phi_{2}=-\omega_{2}+\kappa_{2} \mu^{\sigma}+\mu^{2}\left(A_{21} B_{1} r_{1}+A_{22} B_{2} r_{2}+3 B \operatorname{sign} B_{2} r_{1}^{1 / 2} r_{2}^{1 / 2} \cos \theta\right)+O\left(\mu^{3}\right) \\
& A_{s}=A_{s 1}+3 A_{s 2}, \quad A_{s}^{*}=A_{s} B_{s} / B, \quad B=\sqrt{\left|B_{1}\right|\left|B_{2}\right|^{3}}
\end{align*}
$$

The amplitude equation for system (7.2) is

$$
\kappa^{*}+B\left[A_{1}^{*} r_{1}^{0}+A_{2}^{*} r_{2}^{0}+\left(\operatorname{sign} B_{1} r_{2}^{0}+3 \operatorname{sign} B_{2} r_{1}^{0}\right) \sqrt{\frac{r_{2}^{0}}{r_{1}^{0}}} \cos \theta^{0}\right]=0, \quad \sin \theta^{0}=0
$$

(the constant $\kappa^{*}$ vanishes when $\varepsilon=\mu^{\sigma+1}(\sigma>1)$ and $\kappa^{*}=\kappa$ when $\varepsilon=\mu^{2}$ ). By Theorem 1, Eq. (7.2) defines all the Lyapunov families at 1:3 resonance.

Theorem 6. In the case of 1:3 resonance, all Lyapunov families of symmetric periodic motions are defined by the amplitude equation (7.2). In the original system (3.1), these periodic motions are described by the formulae

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{s}=\mu\left[\omega_{s}-(-1)^{s} \kappa_{s} \mu^{\sigma+1}\right] a_{s} \cos \theta_{s}, \quad y_{s}=\mu a_{s} \sin \theta_{s}, \quad a_{s}^{2}=\left|B_{s}\right| r_{s}^{0}+O\left(\mu^{2}\right), \quad s=1,2 \\
& \theta=\theta^{0}+O\left(\mu^{2}\right), \quad \theta_{1}=\theta-3 \theta_{2}, \quad \theta_{2}=\left[-\omega_{2}+\kappa_{2} \mu^{\sigma+1}+O\left(\mu^{2}\right)\right] t+\theta_{2}^{0} \tag{7.3}
\end{align*}
$$

(a)

(b)
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$\left(\theta_{2}^{0}=\right.$ const, $\sigma \geqslant 1$; the constants $\kappa_{s}$ are as defined in (4.6)). Depending on the coefficients $A_{1}^{*}, A_{2}^{*}, B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$, the families exist both at exact resonance $(\varepsilon=0)$ and if $\varepsilon \neq 0$. The conditions for these families to exist are the same for $\varepsilon=0$ and $\varepsilon=\mu^{\sigma+1}(\sigma>1)$, but for $\varepsilon=\mu^{2}$ we have $\kappa^{*}=\kappa$ in (7.2).

Let us analyse the conditions for Lyapunov families to exist when $\varepsilon=0$ and $\varepsilon=\mu^{\sigma+1}(\sigma>1)$. In that case $\kappa^{*}=0$.

1. $B_{1} B_{2}>0$. The amplitude equation (7.2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}^{*}+A_{2}^{*} u+(3+u) \sqrt{u}=0, \quad \cos \theta^{0}=0, \quad \sin \theta^{0}=0, \quad u=r_{2}^{0} / r_{1}^{0} \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case when $A_{2}^{*}=0$ a family always exists - but only one, defined by the equation

$$
(3+u) \sqrt{u}=\left|A_{1}^{*}\right|
$$

In the other special case, $\left|A_{1}^{*}+A_{2}^{*}\right|=4$, the root of Eq. (7.4) is $u=1$. This case corresponds to the boundary of the stable domain of the equilibrium [16] and yields a Lyapunov family $r_{1}^{0}=r_{2}^{0}$ near equilibrium.

In the case when $A_{2}^{*} \neq 0$, we rewrite Eq. (7.4) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(u) \cos \theta^{0}=k \sqrt{u} \\
& f(u)=-(A+u) /(3+u), \quad k=1 / A_{2}^{*}, \quad A=A_{1}^{*} / A_{2}^{*} \tag{7.5}
\end{align*}
$$

If $A=3$, we have $u=\left|A_{2}^{*}\right|^{2}$, and there is a Lyapunov family. If $A \neq 3$, the relative positions of the graphs of the functions $f(u)$ and $k^{*} \sqrt{u}\left(k^{*}=k \cos \theta^{\circ}\right)$ are as shown in Fig. 5. Clearly, in the case when $A>0$ (Fig. 5a) the graphs intersect at a single point (when $k^{*}<0$ ), proving the existence of just one family, $\theta^{0}=0$ or $\theta^{0}=\pi$.

If $0<A<3$, the zero of the function $f(u)$ lies to the left of the equilibrium (Fig. 5b) and the graphs intersect at only one point; a family with $k^{*}<0$ exists. The case $A=0$ is trivial. Here $A_{1}^{*}=0, A_{2}^{*} \neq 0$. There is only one family. But if $A<0$ (the curve $f(u)$ is shown in Fig. 5(b) by the dashed line), there is always an intersection (at $k^{*}>0$ ). The other family appears when $k^{*}<0$ as $\left|k^{*}\right|$ decreases.

If $B_{1} B_{2}>0$, the equilibrium will be unstable if $\left|A_{1}^{*}+A_{2}^{*}\right|<4[16]$. It follows from the previous analysis that in that case there is at least one Lyapunov family.
2. $B_{1} B_{2}<0$ (stable equilibrium). The amplitude equation is again as in (7.5), except that here $f(u)=-(A+u) /(3-u)$. It is obvious that, if $A=-3$, there is a single family $r_{1}^{0}=r_{2}^{0}$. If $A \neq-3$, the relative positions of the graphs of the functions $f(u)$ and $k^{*} \sqrt{u}$ are as shown in Fig. 6. When $A>-3$ (Fig. 6a) there may be one ( $k^{*}<0$ ) or two $\left(k^{*}>0\right)$ families; one of the latter is not near the equilibrium if $\varepsilon \neq 0$. When $A<-3$ there is always one family (at $k^{*}<0$ ), and depending on the values of the quantities $A$ and $k^{*}>0$, there may be one or two families (Fig. 6b).

The stability of the local periodic motions at $1: 3$ resonance is investigated on the basis of variational equations. For the solutions $\left(r_{1}^{0}, r_{2}^{0}, \theta^{0}\right)$ defined by the amplitude equation (7.2) we have
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$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d\left(\Delta r_{s}\right)}{d \theta_{2}}=\frac{2 \mu^{2}}{\omega} B \operatorname{sign} B_{s} \sqrt{r_{1}^{0} r_{2}^{r^{3}}} \cos \theta^{0} \Delta \theta, \quad s=1,2 \\
& \frac{d(\Delta \theta)}{d \theta_{2}}=-\frac{\mu^{2}}{\omega} B\left\{A_{1}^{*} \Delta r_{1}+A_{2}^{*} \Delta r_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\sqrt{\frac{r_{2}^{0}}{r_{1}^{3}}} \operatorname{sign} B_{1}\left(-r_{2}^{0} \Delta r_{1}+3 r_{1}^{0} \Delta r_{2}\right)+\right.\right.  \tag{7.6}\\
& \left.\left.+\frac{3}{\sqrt{r_{1}^{0} r_{2}^{0}}} \operatorname{sign} B_{2}\left(r_{2}^{0} \Delta r_{1}+r_{1}^{0} \Delta r_{2}\right)\right] \cos \theta^{0}\right\} ; \quad \omega=\omega_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The characteristic equation of system (7.6) has one zero root. The other two roots are $\pm \mu^{2} B \omega^{-1} \rho_{*}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{*}^{2}=\sqrt{r_{1}^{0} r_{2}^{0^{3}}}\left[2\left(A_{1}^{*} \operatorname{sign} B_{1}+A_{2}^{*} \operatorname{sign} B_{2}\right) \cos \theta^{0}+\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{3}{\sqrt{u}}+3 \operatorname{sign}\left(B_{1} B_{2}\right)\left(\sqrt{u}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{u}}\right)-\sqrt{u^{3}}\right], \quad u=\frac{r_{2}^{0}}{r_{1}^{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, if $\rho_{*}<0$, we have a stable periodic motion, but if $\rho_{*}>0$, the periodic motion is hyperbolic.

## 8. 1:1 RESONANCE

In the case of multiple roots with simple elementary divisors, as well as cases close to that of simple roots, the normal form is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{r}_{s}=2 \mu^{2}\left[\left(B_{s 1} r_{1}+B_{s 2} r_{2}\right) \sqrt{r_{1} r_{2}} \sin \theta+b_{s} r_{1} r_{2} \sin 2 \theta\right]+O\left(\mu^{3}\right) \\
& \dot{\theta}_{s}=(-1)^{s-1} \omega+\kappa_{s} \varepsilon+\mu^{2}\left[A_{s 1} r_{1}+A_{s 2} r_{2}+\left(B_{s 1} r_{1}+B_{s 2} r_{2}\right) \sqrt{\frac{r_{3-s}}{r_{s}}} \cos \theta+b_{s} r_{3-s} \cos 2 \theta\right]+O\left(\mu^{3}\right)  \tag{8.1}\\
& \kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}=\kappa \varepsilon \quad\left(\kappa_{1,2}=\text { const }\right), \quad s=1,2
\end{align*}
$$

( $A_{\text {sj }}, B_{s j}, b_{s}$ are constants, $\varepsilon$ is the resonance detuning, and $\mu$ is a scaling factor). In this system all families of periodic motions, symmetric relative to the fixed set $\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}: \sin \theta_{1}=0, \sin \theta_{2}=0\right\}$, are defined by the amplitude equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \kappa^{*}+A_{1}^{*} r_{1}^{0}+A_{2}^{*} r_{2}^{0}+\left(B r_{1}^{0} r_{2}^{0}+B_{21} r_{1}^{0^{2}}+B_{12} r_{2}^{0^{2}}\right) \frac{\cos \theta^{0}}{\sqrt{r_{1}^{0} r_{2}^{0}}}=0, \quad \sin \theta^{0}=0  \tag{8.2}\\
& A_{1}^{*}=A_{1}+b_{2}, \quad A_{2}^{*}=A_{2}+b_{1}, \quad A_{s}=A_{1 s}+A_{2 s}, \quad s=1,2 ; \quad B=B_{11}+B_{22}
\end{align*}
$$

( $\kappa^{*}=0$ if $\varepsilon=0$ or $\varepsilon=\mu^{\sigma+1}, \sigma>1 ; \kappa^{*}=\kappa$ if $\varepsilon=\mu^{2}$ ). If $\kappa^{*}=0$, this equation reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A_{1}^{*}+A_{2}^{*} u\right) \sqrt{u}+\left(B_{21}+B u+B_{12} u^{2}\right) \cos \theta^{0}=0, \quad \sin \theta^{0}=0, \quad u=r_{2}^{0} / r_{1}^{0} \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the problem of the existence of symmetric Lyapunov families will be solved by finding the roots of Eq. (8.2) or Eq. (8.3).
The distinctive feature of $1: 1$ resonance is that a non-symmetric isolated periodic motion (cycle) may exist. In fact, if $\mu=0$, the equations for $r_{1}^{0}, r_{2}^{0}$ have constant solutions in which $\sin \theta^{0} \neq 0$, where $\theta^{0}$ is determined from the condition $\dot{\theta}=0$.
The system of amplitude equations [2] for determining non-symmetric periodic motions may be written in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{s 1} r_{1}^{0}+B_{s} r_{2}^{0}+b_{s} \sqrt{r_{1}^{0} r_{2}^{0}} \cos \theta^{0}=0, \quad s=1,2, \quad \sin \theta^{0} \neq 0 \\
& \kappa^{*}+A_{1} r_{1}^{0}+A_{2} r_{2}^{0}+\left(B r_{1}^{0} r_{2}^{0}+B_{21} r_{1}^{0^{2}}+B_{12} r_{2}^{0^{2}}\right) \frac{\cos \theta^{0}}{\sqrt{r_{1}^{0} r_{2}^{0}}}+\left(b_{1} r_{2}^{0}+b_{2} r_{1}^{0}\right) \cos 2 \theta^{0}=0 \tag{8.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, using the variable $x^{2}=r_{1}^{0} / r_{2}^{0}$, we rewrite the first two equations of system (8.4) in the form

$$
B_{s 1} x^{2}+b_{s} x \cos \theta^{0}+B_{s 2}=0, \quad s=1,2
$$

Next, multiplying these equations by $b_{2}$ and $b_{1}$, respectively, and subtracting one of the resulting equations from the other, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}=-\frac{B_{12} b_{2}-B_{22} b_{1}}{B_{11} b_{2}-B_{21} b_{1}}>0 \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \theta^{0}=-\frac{B_{12} B_{21}-B_{11} B_{22}}{B_{21} b_{1}-B_{11} b_{2}} x^{-1} \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, it follows from the third equation of (8.4), taking relations (8.5) and (8.6) into consideration, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{2}^{0}=-\kappa * / G, \quad G=A_{1} x^{2}+A_{2}+\left(B x+B_{21} x^{2}+B_{12} x^{-1}\right) \cos \theta^{0}+\left(b_{1}+b_{2} x^{2}\right) \cos 2 \theta^{0} \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It obvious from formula (8.7) that a cycle exists only if $\kappa^{*}=\kappa \neq 0$, that is, in an almost-resonance situation. Hence it also follows that $\varepsilon=\mu^{2}$, that is, a cycle will appear at a distance $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ from zero. Finally, formula (8.15) implies the generation of two cycles on which $\theta^{0}= \pm \theta^{*}$.

Theorem 7. In the case of 1:1 resonance of a reversible system, in the neighbourhood of an equilibrium, local symmetric periodic motions exist which form Lyapunov families. These families exist both at exact resonance $(\varepsilon=0)$ and at a distance $\varepsilon \neq 0$; all the families are defined by the amplitude equation (8.2). In addition, two cycles, symmetric with respect to one another relative to the fixed set, form in the neighbourhood $O \vee \varepsilon$; the cycles are defined by formulae (8.5)-(8.7).
To investigate the stability of the local periodic motions, one must formulate the variational equations for the family of periodic motions defined by formulae (8.2) or formulae (8.5)-(8.6), and then the characteristic equation. This cumbersome equation will not be given here. Suffice it to say that, for a symmetric solution, the equation always has one zero root and a pair of roots with opposite signs: $\rho= \pm \mu^{2} \omega^{-1} \rho_{*}$. Hence, depending on the sign of the cycle $\rho_{*}^{2}$, the periodic motion is either stable or hyperbolic in nature.

## 9. THE MODEL OF AN ELASTIC ROD SUBJECT TO A SERVO FORCE [17]

Let us consider a reversible mechanical system comprising two identical rods of mass $m$ and length $l$ connected to each other and to a fixed centre by means of ideal hinges and helical springs of stiffness $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$. The second rod is subject, at its free end, to a servo force of fixed magnitude, directed along its axis. The non-deformed state of the rods corresponds to the rectangular configuration of the system. The system is in a horizontal plane.

The motion of the system is described by Lagrange's equations in which the generalized coordinates are the angles $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$, namely, the deviations of the springs from the equilibrium state. Expanding the right-hand sides of the equations in powers of $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ one finds that the quadratic terms in these expansions vanish.

The system is stable in the linear approximation [17, p. 212] if

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2} \geq 27 c_{1} c_{2}, \quad a=2 c_{1}+16 c_{2}-5 F l \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Computing the frequencies $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ of the linear system, we find

$$
\omega_{1,2}^{2}=\frac{3}{7 m l^{2}}\left(a \pm \sqrt{a^{2}-27 c_{1} c_{2}}\right), \quad \omega_{1} \geq \omega_{2}, \quad \alpha^{2}=27 \frac{c_{1} c_{2}}{a^{2}}
$$

Then, if $\alpha=(p-1) /(p+1)$, the system admits of a $1: p$ resonance. If $p=1$, one has non-simple elementary divisors, but if $p=2$, the resonance is degenerate. Hence we deduce that Theorem 5 completely solves the problem of Lyapunov families for all resonances, with the exception of the cases $p=1$ and $p=3$.

Let us consider 1:3 resonance in the case of identical springs ( $c_{1}=c_{2}=c$ ) and compute the coefficients of system (7.1):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{11} \approx 4.88 d^{2}, \quad A_{12} \approx 6.34 d^{2}, \quad B_{1} \approx 2.12 d^{2} \\
& A_{21} \approx-3.48 d^{2}, \quad A_{22} \approx 2.51 d^{2}, \quad B_{2} \approx 1.07 d^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

( $d^{2}$ is a certain positive number). Thus, in system (7.2) we have

$$
B_{1} B_{2}>0, \quad B \approx 1.61 d^{4}, \quad A_{1}^{*} \approx-7.588, \quad A_{2}^{*} \approx 9.218
$$

We will compute $A=A_{1}^{*} / A_{2}^{*} \approx-0.823$. At exact resonance and for a small detuning $\left(\varepsilon=\mu^{\sigma+1}\right.$, $\sigma>1$ ), we obtain the case illustrated by the dashed curves in Fig. 5b. We find that $k=1 / A_{2}^{*} \approx 0.108$. Therefore, $f(u)>k^{*} \sqrt{u}$ for $k^{*}<0$. Consequently, there is only a family $k^{*}>0$. This family contains unstable periodic motions; the family is hyperbolic.

Thus, at $1: 3$ resonance the rectangular configuration is unstable, and if the springs undergo a small deformation, a family of oscillations is formed. The nature of the oscillations is hyperbolic; the oscillations destroy the configuration of the system.
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